UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,112	12/15/2005	Kazuyoshi Yoshida	P/2850-124	1786
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN  EXA				IINER
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			TALBOT, BRIAN K	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/561,112	YOSHIDA ET AL.	YOSHIDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian K. Talbot	1792				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC I.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this of the condition of the condit				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	May 2007					
· <u> </u>	iis action is non-final.					
		re prosecution as to the	a marite ie			
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1900 C.D.	11, 400 O.O. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-63</u> are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
	r olootion roquirolliont.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	· •	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
, , ,	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>						
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri</li></ol>	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bure	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Dato				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/561,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-35,38-43,45 and 54-61, drawn to composition, classified in class 252, subclass 500+.
  - II. Claims 36,37,44,62 and 63, drawn to method of polymerizing, classified in class 528, subclass 422+.
  - III. Claim 46, drawn to a capacitor, classified in class 361, subclass 1+.
  - IV. Claim 47, drawn to method of making capacitor, classified in class 427, subclass79.
  - V. Claims 48-52, drawn to a transducer, classified in class 428, subclass 1+.
  - VI. Claim 53, drawn to method of making a transducer, classified in class 427, subclass 58+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions IV,VI and III,V are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process other than coating applying such as by etching or laminating.
- 3. Inventions IV,VI and I are related as process and composition for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different composition, or (2) the composition as claimed can be used to

Art Unit: 1792

practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the composition as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process other than making capacitors and transducers such as forming conductive traces for RFID tags or traces for anti-fogging windshields.

- 4. Inventions I and II are related as composition of making and composition. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different composition or (2) that the composition as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case polymerizing not in the presence of a cyano (polyanion) group.
- 5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
  - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
  - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
  - (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
  - (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;

Application/Control Number: 10/561,112

Art Unit: 1792

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Page 4

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Robert Faber on 11/17/08 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1792

- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 1792

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K. Talbot whose telephone number is (571) 272-1428. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy H. Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian K Talbot/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

**BKT**